

Doctrine of Lapse and Subsidiary Alliance – Annexation Policies of East India Company

Description

The British Company used clever policies to annex Indian states. These annexation policies transformed India's political map dramatically completely. Doctrine of Lapse and Subsidiary Alliance were main instruments. Understanding these policies is essential for UPSC Civil Services aspirants. Modern Indian history forms crucial portion of examination syllabus significantly. Questions on annexation appear regularly in Prelims and Mains examinations. Mastering this topic helps you score valuable marks consistently.

British Expansion Through Diplomacy and Force

The British East India Company expanded through multiple methods. Direct military conquest was one obvious method of expansion. However, they also used diplomatic and legal techniques cleverly. Annexation policies provided legal justification for territorial expansion systematically. These policies appeared legitimate but were exploitative in reality.

The policies reduced Indian rulers to puppets gradually progressively. Independent kingdoms lost their sovereignty step by step continuously. Understanding these methods helps in colonial policy questions effectively. The [best IAS coaching in Delhi](#) emphasizes British expansion strategies thoroughly.

Subsidiary Alliance System

Introduction by Lord Wellesley

The Subsidiary Alliance was devised by Lord Wellesley strategically. He served as Governor-General from 1798 to 1805 significantly. This system was major instrument of British expansion imperialism. It provided method of indirect control over Indian states. The alliance appeared beneficial but was exploitative in reality.

Lord Wellesley wanted to expand British influence without direct annexation. Subsidiary Alliance achieved this objective perfectly effectively successfully. It made Indian rulers dependent on British protection. Understanding Wellesley's strategy helps in administrative questions effectively. The [top IAS coaching in Delhi](#) covers all Governor-Generals comprehensively.

Features of Subsidiary Alliance

Under Subsidiary Alliance, king was provided with military security. British Company stationed troops in the ruler's territory permanently. The troops supposedly protected the state from external threats. However, this military presence ensured British control completely totally. The king had to pay for maintenance of troops.

The princely states remained independent regarding internal matters superficially. However, independence was more theoretical than practical really. External affairs came completely under British control absolutely entirely. The ruler could not have any outside interference whatsoever. British Company's resident was kept in the court permanently. Understanding these features helps in policy analysis questions. The **best UPSC coaching in Delhi** discusses policy mechanics thoroughly.

Conditions of Subsidiary Alliance

The Indian ruler could not employ any European without approval. Prior permission from British was mandatory for such appointments. He could not negotiate with any other Indian ruler independently. Consulting the Governor-General was compulsory before any negotiation. This severely restricted the ruler's diplomatic freedom completely.

The princely states had to pay annual amount regularly. This payment covered cost of British troops stationed there. Some states paid in cash while others ceded territories. The financial burden was heavy on states' treasuries. Failure to pay led to further territorial losses. Understanding financial aspects helps in economic questions effectively. The **top IAS coaching in Delhi** covers economic exploitation comprehensively.

Real Impact of Subsidiary Alliance

Though appearing protective, alliance was exploitative instrument actually. Indian rulers became puppets of British Company gradually. They lost control over external affairs completely totally. British resident interfered in internal administration also frequently. The rulers could not pursue independent foreign policy.

Military dependence made rulers weak and vulnerable progressively. They could not maintain their own armies properly. British troops ensured compliance with company's dictates always. The alliance drained state resources through annual payments. Understanding hidden exploitation helps in critical analysis questions. The [best IAS coaching in Delhi](#) teaches critical thinking thoroughly.

States Annexed Under Subsidiary Alliance

Hyderabad (1798): Nizam of Hyderabad accepted alliance first in 1798. He became dependent on British military protection completely. Hyderabad ceded territories for troop maintenance payment regularly.

Mysore (1799): After defeating Tipu Sultan, British imposed alliance. Restored Hindu dynasty accepted subsidiary alliance terms reluctantly. Mysore came under complete British influence thereafter permanently.

Awadh (1801): Nawab of Awadh accepted alliance in 1801 unwillingly. He had to cede large territories to company. Awadh became British protectorate losing real independence completely.

Peshwa (1802): Bajirao II accepted alliance after Maratha defeat. Treaty of Bassein in 1802 imposed subsidiary alliance. Peshwa became nominal ruler under British control totally.

Bhonsle and Scindia (1803): After Second Anglo-Maratha War, both accepted alliance. They ceded territories and accepted British residents permanently. Their independence was severely curtailed thereafter completely.

Udaipur, Jodhpur and Jaipur (1818): Rajput states accepted alliance in 1818. They came under British protection losing diplomatic freedom. These states survived but under British supervision always.

Understanding each case helps in detailed questions effectively. The [top IAS coaching in Delhi](#) provides state-wise analysis comprehensively.

Doctrine of Lapse

Introduction by Lord Dalhousie

Lord Dalhousie devised the Doctrine of Lapse policy. He served as Governor-General from 1848 to 1856 significantly. This doctrine was most controversial annexation policy ever. It provided legal justification for direct annexation systematically. The policy angered Indian rulers across the country.

Dalhousie was expansionist and believed in direct British rule. He wanted to extend Company's territorial control rapidly. Doctrine of Lapse served this purpose perfectly effectively. Understanding Dalhousie's imperialism helps in colonial questions. The **best IAS coaching in Delhi** covers Dalhousie's policies thoroughly.

Principle of Doctrine of Lapse

According to Doctrine of Lapse, natural succession was mandatory. If ruler of protected state died without natural heir. His adopted son was not allowed to rule state. The state was to be annexed by British automatically. This violated traditional Hindu adoption practices completely.

Hindu law allowed adoption for succession purposes traditionally. Adopted sons had same rights as natural sons. British Company ignored these traditional rights deliberately intentionally. The doctrine was imposed unilaterally without Indian consent. Understanding legal aspects helps in constitutional questions effectively. The **top UPSC coaching in Delhi** discusses legal dimensions comprehensively.

Controversial Nature of Doctrine

The Doctrine of Lapse was highly controversial and unpopular. It violated traditional Indian inheritance customs completely totally. Hindu rulers had always practiced adoption for succession. British interference in succession angered Indian princes greatly. The policy was seen as unjust and exploitative.

Many rulers who had no natural heir felt insecure. They feared their kingdoms would be annexed after death. The doctrine created atmosphere of fear and resentment. It contributed to causes of 1857 Revolt significantly. Understanding this context helps in revolt questions effectively. The **best IAS coaching in Delhi** connects policies with revolt.

States Annexed Under Doctrine of Lapse

Satara (1848): Satara was first state annexed under doctrine. The ruler died without natural heir in 1848. British refused to recognize adopted heir's claim. Satara was annexed directly by Company immediately.

Jaitpur and Sambhalpur (1849): Both Jaitpur of Bundelkhand and Sambhalpur annexed. Their rulers died without natural heirs that year. British applied Doctrine of Lapse promptly quickly. These states came under direct British administration thereafter.

Baghat (1850): Small state of Baghat in hills was annexed. The ruler's adopted heir was not recognized by British. State was merged into British territories directly completely.

Udaipur in Rajputana (1852): Different from alliance Udaipur, this was smaller state. It was annexed when ruler died childless unfortunately. British refused adoption claim under the doctrine.

Jhansi (1853): Jhansi was annexed when Raja died without heir. Rani Lakshmibai's adopted son was not recognized officially. This annexation angered Rani who later led revolt. Jhansi became symbol of resistance against British policies.

Nagpur (1854): Nagpur was largest state annexed under doctrine. The Bhonsle ruler died without natural heir significantly. British annexed this important Maratha kingdom directly. Nagpur's annexation completed Maratha territories' absorption substantially.

Understanding each annexation helps in detailed questions effectively. The **top IAS coaching in Delhi** provides case studies thoroughly.

Comparison: Subsidiary Alliance vs Doctrine of Lapse

Aspect	Subsidiary Alliance	Doctrine of Lapse
Introduced By	Lord Wellesley (1798)	Lord Dalhousie (1848)
Nature	Indirect Control	Direct Annexation
Ruler Status	Remained nominal ruler	State annexed completely
Method	Military dependence	Legal technicality
Indian Response	Initially accepted reluctantly	Strongly opposed and resented

Key Differences

Subsidiary Alliance maintained facade of independence superficially nominally. Doctrine of Lapse led to complete direct annexation. Alliance kept Indian ruler as puppet on throne. Doctrine removed ruler and annexed state entirely. Alliance was diplomatic while Doctrine was legal instrument.

Alliance affected external affairs primarily mainly initially. Doctrine terminated state's existence completely totally. Understanding differences helps in comparative questions effectively. The **best IAS coaching in Delhi** provides comparative analysis thoroughly.

Impact of Annexation Policies

Political Impact

Annexation policies destroyed Indian states' independence systematically progressively. Hundreds of years old kingdoms ceased to exist. Traditional political system was replaced by British administration. Indian rulers lost power and prestige completely. The policies created political vacuum in annexed territories.

British administrative system replaced traditional governance structures. Local power centers were eliminated deliberately intentionally systematically. Understanding political impact helps in governance questions. The **top UPSC coaching in Delhi** covers political dimensions comprehensively.

Economic Impact

Annexed states' resources came under British control completely. Revenue went to Company's treasury instead of rulers. Traditional economic systems were disrupted and destroyed significantly. Heavy taxation was imposed on annexed territories. Economic exploitation increased after direct British rule.

Traditional industries declined under British policies deliberately. Artisans and craftsmen lost royal patronage completely. Understanding economic exploitation helps in economic questions. The **best IAS coaching in Delhi** discusses economic policies thoroughly.

Social Impact

Annexation policies affected Indian society profoundly deeply significantly. Traditional elite lost status and privileges completely. Court culture and patronage system ended abruptly. Many families dependent on rulers faced hardship. Social hierarchy was disrupted in annexed areas.

Cultural institutions lost their patrons and support. Traditional arts and crafts declined without patronage. Understanding social disruption helps in society questions. The **top UPSC coaching in Delhi** covers social aspects comprehensively.

Contribution to 1857 Revolt

Annexation policies were major cause of 1857 Revolt. Dispossessed rulers and their families had grievances. Doctrine of Lapse particularly angered Indian princes. Rani Lakshmibai of Jhansi became rebel leader. Nana Saheb of Kanpur was Peshwa's adopted son.

Both were victims of British annexation policies directly. They joined revolt to regain lost kingdoms. Many soldiers came from annexed territories like Awadh. The policies created widespread resentment against British. Understanding this connection helps in revolt questions. The **best IAS coaching in Delhi** connects policies with consequences.

Other Annexation Methods

War and Conquest

Besides policies, British also used direct military conquest. Anglo-Mysore Wars led to Mysore's annexation eventually. Anglo-Maratha Wars destroyed Maratha Confederacy systematically progressively. Anglo-Sikh Wars annexed Punjab into British Empire. Understanding military conquests helps in military questions. The **top UPSC coaching in Delhi** covers all wars comprehensively.

Doctrine of Paramountcy

British also used Doctrine of Paramountcy for expansion. This doctrine claimed British supremacy over all Indian states. Any state could be annexed for misgovernance allegedly. This gave British unlimited power to intervene. Understanding paramountcy helps in legal questions effectively.

Chronological Overview of Annexations

Under Lord Wellesley (1798-1805)

- 1798: Hyderabad accepted Subsidiary Alliance
- 1799: Mysore annexed after Fourth Anglo-Mysore War
- 1801: Awadh accepted alliance ceding territories
- 1802: Peshwa accepted Treaty of Bassein
- 1803: Bhonsle and Scindia accepted alliance

Under Lord Dalhousie (1848-1856)

- 1848: Satara annexed (first under Doctrine)
- 1849: Jaitpur and Sambhalpur annexed
- 1850: Baghat annexed
- 1852: Udaipur annexed
- 1853: Jhansi annexed
- 1854: Nagpur annexed (largest annexation)
- 1856: Awadh annexed (later, not under Doctrine)

Understanding chronology helps in timeline questions. The **best IAS coaching in Delhi** provides detailed timelines.

Relevance for UPSC Examination

Annexation policies hold immense importance in UPSC syllabus. Questions appear in both Prelims and Mains regularly. Prelims tests factual knowledge about policies and states. Mains requires analytical understanding of impact and consequences. You must explain connection with 1857 Revolt clearly.

Modern Indian history questions carry substantial weightage always. Annexation policies appear in colonialism section prominently. Comparative questions about different methods appear frequently. Understanding exploitation helps in critical analysis questions. Essay writing can incorporate colonial

impact examples. The **best IAS coaching in Delhi** prepares students comprehensively.

How to Prepare Annexation Policies

Preparing annexation policies requires systematic approach and understanding. Start with NCERT textbooks for basic foundation. Study both policies separately with examples thoroughly. Make lists of states annexed under each policy. Create comparison tables for better understanding clearly.

Focus on understanding impact beyond mere facts. Analyze how policies led to 1857 Revolt. Practice answer writing on colonial exploitation regularly. The **top UPSC coaching in Delhi** provides structured guidance.

SHRI RAM IAS in Mukherjee Nagar excels in modern history. Study material covers annexation policies with detailed analysis. The **best IAS coaching in Delhi** ensures quality delivery.

What is UPSC CSE Exam?

UPSC Civil Services Examination selects IAS officers annually. Three stages test knowledge and personality comprehensively. The **best IAS coaching in Delhi** prepares systematically.

Why IAS Coaching is Important?

UPSC preparation demands structured approach and consistent effort. The **top UPSC coaching in Delhi** employs qualified teachers.

Hybrid Classes at SHRI RAM IAS

Attend physically or join remotely through mobile app. The **best IAS coaching in Delhi** offers ultimate flexibility.

Contact Information

Contact

Details

Phone +918882939805, +919958635276

Website www.shriramias.in

Annexation policies are crucial for UPSC examination. Understanding Doctrine of Lapse and Subsidiary Alliance helps scoring. These policies led to 1857 Revolt significantly. Enroll at SHRI RAM IAS for comprehensive preparation. The **best IAS coaching in Delhi** awaits you.